

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/660,054	09/11/2003	Shigeru Yamane	MAT-8260US1	7291
23122	7590 03/04/2005		EXAMINER	
RATNERPRESTIA			DIXON, MERRICK L	
P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	
			DATE MAILED: 03/04/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>.                                    </u>			(1)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/660,054	YAMANE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Merrick Dixon	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	;			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by so any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ren. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communi ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	11 September 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 37,40,41,44,47,53,59,66,69,70,75 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 37,40,41,44,47,53,59,66,69,70,75 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ndrawn from consideration.  3 and 76 is/are rejected.	application.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	na/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 1907		•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	•	• •	<b>04</b> (4)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	,		• /			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the</li> </ul>	nents have been received. nents have been received in A	pplication No	9			
application from the International Bu	` ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '		•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.				
		MERRICK DIXON				
Attachment(s)	_	PRIMARY EXAMINER				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>see office action.</u> .	· —	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/660,054

Art Unit: 1774

15

Per Amdt. Filed 9-11-2003, claims 37,40,41,44,47,53,59,66,69,70,73 and 76 are pending in the instant case.

The instant office action contains Initialed, signed PTO-1449.

16

Claims 37,40,41,44,47,53 and 59 are product by process claims.

Product by process claims are based on product itself eventhough such claims recite process steps and thus the products in such claims are unpatentable if they are the same as, or, obvious from, the product of the prior art, even if the prior product was made by different processes. In re Thorpe et al, 227 USPQ 964(1985); In re Marosi 218 USPQ 964(1985). See MPEP 706.03(e).

17

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

\_ 18

Claims 37,40,41,44,47,53, 59, 66 and 76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakatani et al(US 6096411).

The cited reference teaches the claimed invention comprising a clad board- col 2, lines

23-64; see entire reference.

**Art Unit: 1774** 

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

21

Claims 66,69,70,73 and 76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakatani et al(US 6096411) alone.

The cited reference substantially teaches the claimed invention- col 7, lines 54-62; col 8, lines 9-20; col 7, lines 64-66; col 9, lines 9-19; col 16, lines 11-20. while the reference is silent in regards to having the additional layer material be same as the impregnating resin material, it is submitted that the cited reference's would indeed be constructed as claimed, in the absence of unexpected results. This is clearly seen in col 8, lines 55-64. here the paste impregnates the substrate and forms on either sides thereof. Concerning claims 69,70 and 73, likewise, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to manipulate the respective densities of the material via manipulation/working the respective layers/substrate- col 6, lines 11-19.

22

Applicants who wish to send a facsimile (draft copies) for the examiner's immediate review can do so by using the Examiner's personal fax number at 571-273-1520. The faxing of all papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (November 15, 1989). **NOTE: All facsimiles sent to the examiner's** 

Application/Control Number: 10/660,054 Page 4

Art Unit: 1774

personal fax number should be in draft-forms and will be treated as informal.

Same facsimiles will not be entered in the related applications unless

otherwise agreed and noted by the examiner.

The fax number for all other fascimile is 703-872-9306.

Information about the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Information Retrieval system (Private PAIR).

Status inquires for published applications may be retrieved from either Private PAIR

or Public PAIR. Questions about the PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic

Business Center at 866-217-9197.

Any questions concerning the instant communication should be directed to Examiner

Dixon, at 571-272-1520, Mondays to Thursdays, between 12 noon and 8 PM, eastern

time. The examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Rena Dye, can be reached at 571-272-3186.

Merrick Dixon

**Primary Examiner** 

Group 1700